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## SI Appendix

## Materials and Methods

Cell culture. MDA-MB-468 cells (ATCC) were cultured in RPMI-1640 (Gibco) supplemented with $10 \%$ fetal bovine serum (Gemini Bioproducts), 1X GlutaMAX (Gibco), 10 mM HEPES (Gibco), and 1X AntibioticAntimycotic (Gibco). hTERT-immortalized human mammary epithelial cell line HMEC-CT2 (Clontech) was cultured in DMEM/F12 (Gibco) supplemented with $0.6 \%$ fetal bovine serum (Gemini Bioproducts), $1 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$ cholera toxin (Sigma-Aldrich), $10 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$ recombinant human EGF (Sigma-Aldrich), $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ recombinant human insulin (Gibco), $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ hydrocortisone (Sigma-Aldrich), and 1X Antibiotic-Antimycotic (Gibco). MCF10A cells, with stable expression of ER-Src (1, 2), were cultured in DMEM/F12 without phenol-red (Gibco) supplemented with $5 \%$ charcoal-stripped fetal bovine serum (Sigma-Aldrich), $100 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$ cholera toxin (EMD Millipore), 20 $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$ recombinant human EGF (Sigma-Aldrich), $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ bovine insulin (Sigma-Aldrich), $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ hydrocortisone (Sigma-Aldrich), 1X Penicillin-Streptomycin (Gibco), $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ puromycin (Invivogen). All cells were cultured in a humidified chamber at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $5 \% \mathrm{CO}$.

Generation of CRISPR-Cas9 edited cell lines. LentiCRISPR v2 vectors targeting 4EBP1 and 4EBP2 (Genscript), and GFP (gift from Y. Wang), having the following target sequences were used to generate knock-down cell lines. Target sequences: GFP 5'-GAGCTGGACGGCGACGTAAA-3'; 4EBP1 5'-GTGAGTTCCGACACTCCATC-3'; 4EBP2 5'-CATGACTATTGCACCACGCC-3'. HEK-293T cells were transfected with packaging plasmids pCMVA8.91 and pMD2.G, and lentiCRISPR v2 vectors; viral supernatant was collected 48 h after transfection, filtered through $0.45 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ membranes and concentrated with Lenti-X Concentrator (Clontech). HMEC-CT2 cells were transduced with concentrated virus supplemented with $8 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ polybrene, and selected with $2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ of puromycin. To achieve sufficient dual knockdown of 4EBP1 and 4EBP2, cells were subjected to multiple rounds of viral transduction and puromycin selection.

Gene expression knock-down by siRNA. HMEC-CT2 and MCF10 (Er-Src) cells were seeded into 6-well plates the previous day (HMEC-CT2 at 200,000 cells, and MCF10A (Er-Src) at 100,000 or 150,000 cells, per $9.6 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ well in 4 ml of media), transfected with 30 pmol siRNA per well using Lipofectamine RNAiMAX (Invitrogen) per manufacturer's protocol, and incubated for 44-48 h under standard culture conditions before proceeding to subsequent steps. Transfections were carried out using FlexiTube siRNA (Qiagen) targeting GCN2 (sense strand 5'-AGGUUAAGUCUUUCGAGAATT-3'; anti-sense strand 5'-UUCUCGAAAGACUUAACCUTG-3'), EIF2S1 (sense strand 5'-GGCUGUAAAUCCUAGACUUTT-3'; anti-sense strand 5'-AAGUCUAGGAUUUACAGCCAG-3'), or AllStars negative control (Qiagen).

Chemical compounds. Kinase inhibitors for chemical screening were obtained from Harvard Medical School ICCB-Longwood Screening Facility, as 10 mM stocks in DMSO and diluted to 1 mM DMSO working stocks. Additional 10 mM DMSO stocks of Torin-1, Dabrafenib and MK1775 were purchased from Selleck Chemicals. Compounds were used in biological assays at 0.1\% DMSO final concentration in supplemented media (as described above).

Targeted Profiling of RNA translation (TPRT). For chemical perturbation experiments, MDA-MB-468 and HMEC-CT2 cells were seeded into 6 -well plates the previous day ( 500,000 cells per $9.6 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ well in 4 ml of media) or as described above for siRNA-based experiments, and treated as indicated. For physiological perturbation experiments, MCF10A (ER-Src) cells were seeded into 6 -well plates the previous day ( 200,000 cells per $9.6 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ well in 4 ml of media) or as described above for siRNA-based experiments, then treated with fresh cell culture media supplemented with $1 \mu \mathrm{M} 4$-hydroxytamoxifen (Sigma-Aldrich) for 24 h prior to experimental perturbation to induce Src-mediated oncogenic transformation, before being subjected to perturbation conditions as indicated. Following treatment, cells were washed with ice-cold wash buffer ( $4 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{well}$, comprising PBS supplemented with $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ cycloheximide), keeping the buffer in contact with the cells for approximately 60 seconds on ice, followed by vacuum aspiration of the buffer. Lysis buffer ( $200 \mu \mathrm{l} /$ well, comprising 20 mM Tris (pH 7.4), $150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl}, 1 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{DTT} 1 \mathrm{mg} /$,ml cycloheximide, $1 \% \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ Triton $\mathrm{X}-100$ ) was gently dispersed over the surface of the dish, and samples were frozen in liquid nitrogen. Samples were thawed on ice, collected into a microcentrifuge tube by scraping and frozen in liquid nitrogen. After thawing on ice, samples were centrifuged $\left(20,000 \mathrm{~g}, 5 \mathrm{~min}, 4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$, supernatant was recovered as a clarified lysate, its RNA concentration measured using Qubit RNA HS assay kit (Invitrogen) according to manufacturer's protocol, and lysate diluted to 500 ng RNA in $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ lysis buffer. RNase I (Invitrogen) ( $1,000 \mathrm{U}$ in $10 \mu \mathrm{I}$ ) and TurboDNase (Invitrogen) ( 10 U in $5 \mathrm{\mu l}$ ) were added to the diluted lysate and incubated ( $1 \mathrm{~h}, 4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, with gentle agitation). TRIzol (Invitrogen) ( $460 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ) was added, mixed, incubated ( 5 min , room temperature), chloroform (92 $\mu \mathrm{l}$ ) was added, mixed, incubated ( 3 minutes, room temperature), centrifuged ( $12,000 \mathrm{~g}, 15 \mathrm{~min}, 4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), and $250 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of the upper aqueous phase was recovered. GlycoBlue (Invitrogen) ( $30 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ in $2 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ) was added, mixed, isopropanol ( $375 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ) was added, mixed and incubated (dry ice or cooler, at least 30 min ). Samples were thawed (room temperature), centrifuged ( $20,000 \mathrm{~g}, 30 \mathrm{~min}, 4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), pellet was washed in ethanol ( $70 \%$, ice-cold, 1 ml ), centrifuged ( $20,000 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{~min}, 4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), pellet was recovered and dried ( 10 min , room temperature), and dissolved in water ( $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ). Reverse transcription (RT) was carried out as follows. RT primer mix ( $2 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of a mixture of all RT primers at $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ each in water) was added, mixed, incubated at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 minutes followed by a gradual decrease of $0.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ per second until reaching a temperature of $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and then cooled to $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. RT master mix $(8 \mu \mathrm{l}$, comprising $4 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of 5 X SuperScript III first strand buffer (Invitrogen), $1 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of dNTP mixture ( 10 mM each), $1 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of DTT ( 0.1 M ), $1 \mu$ I of SUPERase-In ( $20 \mathrm{U} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ ), and $1 \mu$ l of SuperScript III reverse transcriptase ( $200 \mathrm{U} / \mu \mathrm{I}$ )
(Invitrogen)) was added, mixed, incubated using a temperature ramp of $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 42^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 44^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 46^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 48^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in this order for 10 minutes at each temperature, cooled to $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{NaOH}(2.2 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of 1 N stock) was added, mixed, incubated at $98^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 min , and cooled to $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Samples were added to mixture of water ( $156 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ) and NaOAc ( $20 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of 3 M stock), GlycoBlue ( $30 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ in $2 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ) was added, mixed, isopropanol ( $300 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ) was added, mixed and incubated (dry ice or cooler, at least 30 min ). Samples were thawed (room temperature), centrifuged ( $20,000 \mathrm{~g}$, $30 \mathrm{~min}, 4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), pellet was washed in ethanol ( $70 \%$, ice-cold, 1 ml ), centrifuged ( $20,000 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{~min}, 4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), pellet was recovered and dried ( 10 min , room temperature), and cDNA was dissolved in water ( $500 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ). qPCR was carried out as follows. Individual qPCR reactions were mixed by addition of cDNA sample ( $5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ) to a mixture of SYBRSelect master mix ( $10 \mu$ l of 2 X mix ) and qPCR primer mix ( $5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of mixture of gene-specific forward primer and qPCR common reverse primer $B R$ at $0.8 \mu \mathrm{M}$ each in water). Each cDNA-probe pair was analyzed with 3 or 4 technical replicates on an Applied Biosystems 7300 Real Time PCR System with the following protocol: $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 120 seconds and 40 cycles of $\left[95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ for 15 seconds, $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 seconds, $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 seconds, $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 seconds, and $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 45 seconds], with fluorescence signal measured at the final step of each cycle. Plate setup and qPCR fluorescence values, normalized against ROX control, were exported as CSV files and analyzed as below.

TPRT with ribosome footprint purification. Cells were seeded, treated, and harvested as for TPRT. Following lysate harvest, two nuclease digest reactions were set up per sample, each with 500 ng RNA in $200 \mu$ lysis buffer. RNase I ( $2,000 \mathrm{U}$ in $20 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ) and TurboDNase ( 20 U in $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ) were added to the diluted lysate and incubated ( $1 \mathrm{~h}, 4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, with gentle agitation). SUPERase-In (600 U in 30 ul ) was added and mixed. The mixture was overlaid on a 1 M sucrose cushion, and centrifuged at $55,000 \mathrm{rpm}$ overnight at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ using a TLS 55 rotor. The sucrose cushion was decanted, the pellets from the two reactions were resuspended and combined in TRIzol ( 500 ul total volume), mixed, incubated ( 5 min , room temperature), chloroform ( $92 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ) was added, mixed, incubated ( 3 minutes, room temperature), centrifuged ( $12,000 \mathrm{~g}, 15 \mathrm{~min}, 4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), and $250 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of the upper aqueous phase was recovered. GlycoBlue (Invitrogen) ( $30 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ in $2 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ) was added, mixed, isopropanol ( $375 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ) was added, mixed and incubated (dry ice or cooler, at least 30 min ). Samples were thawed (room temperature), centrifuged $\left(20,000 \mathrm{~g}, 30 \mathrm{~min}, 4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$, pellet was washed in ethanol ( $70 \%$, ice-cold, 1 ml ), centrifuged ( $20,000 \mathrm{~g}, 10$ $\mathrm{min}, 4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), pellet was recovered and dried ( 10 min , room temperature), and dissolved in water ( $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ). 10 ul of 2X loading buffer ( 10 mM EDTA, $300 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{ml}$ bromophenol blue, in formamide) was added, mixed, incubated $\left(80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 90 \mathrm{~s}\right)$, mixed, separated on a $15 \%$ TBE-urea gel, and visualized using 1 X SYBR Gold (Invitrogen). Bands in the region of 26 nt to 34 nt were excised, RNA was purified, and suspended in 10 ul of water, as described by Ingolia et al., using demarcation markers NI-NI-19 and NI-NI-20 (3). Subsequent steps, comprising reverse transcription, qPCR, and data analysis, were undertaken as described for the standard TPRT protocol above. For experiments directly comparing with standard TPRT (without ribosome footprint purification), the standard

TPRT reactions were doubled in quantity from nuclease digest through to RNA resuspension (in 20 ul) following TRIzol extraction, after which 10 ul was used for subsequent steps and 10 ul was used for gel analysis together with the samples above.
mRNA expression RT-qPCR. Changes in mRNA levels were measured using a variation on the TPRT protocol as described below, using the same reverse transcription and qPCR primers. Cells were seeded and treated as for TPRT. Media was vacuum aspirated, TRIzol ( $400 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ) was added directly to each well, lysate was collected, mixed, incubated ( 5 min , room temperature), chloroform ( $80 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ) was added, mixed, incubated ( 3 minutes, room temperature), centrifuged $\left(12,000 \mathrm{~g}, 15 \mathrm{~min}, 4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$, and $150 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of the upper aqueous phase was recovered. GlycoBlue ( $30 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ in $2 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ) was added, mixed, isopropanol ( $225 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ) was added, mixed and incubated (dry ice or cooler, at least 30 min ). Samples were thawed (room temperature), centrifuged ( $20,000 \mathrm{~g}, 30 \mathrm{~min}, 4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), pellet was washed in ethanol ( $70 \%$, ice-cold, 1 ml ), centrifuged ( $20,000 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{~min}, 4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), pellet was recovered and dried (10 min, room temperature), dissolved in water ( $20 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ), RNA concentration measured using a NanoDrop instrument, and RNA samples diluted to 100 ng in $10 \mu$ l of water. Samples were subsequently processed for RT and qPCR as described for TPRT.

TPRT and mRNA expression qPCR data analysis. Due to the acute nature of drug and metabolic treatments under study, mRNA levels are not expected to vary substantially. However, measurement of changes in mRNA level presents with presents with some noise. Normalization of changes in translation with mRNA level would therefore introduce this additional noise. To avoid these effects, changes in translation are analyzed as "gross" changes without consideration of changes in mRNA levels, and mRNA levels are measured and analyzed separately, and any unexpected, substantial changes are noted.

ROX-normalized qPCR fluorescence values $R_{n}$ were analyzed using MATLAB (version R2017a or R2018a) for background subtraction and determination of Ct values. Briefly, for each qPCR sample (i.e. individual well), a baseline value was selected that gives the most linear initial increase in $\log _{10}\left(R_{n}\right)$ against PCR cycle. Quantification cycle value $C_{q}$ was determined by interpolation against $\log _{10}\left(R_{n}\right)=-0.5$ which is in the exponential phase of amplification, and for each set of cDNA-probe samples, mean $C_{q}$ was calculated across technical replicates. Within each biological replicate, fold change FC for a particular gene of interest (goi) of drug-treated versus DMSO-treated sample was calculated using a variation on Hellemans et al. (4), as:


The normalization factor, for multiple internal control genes $i$,

$$
N F=\sqrt[i]{\prod_{i} 2^{C_{q}(D M S O, i)-C_{q}(d r u g, i)}}
$$

$\log _{2}(F C)$ values from each biological replicate is used to calculate their means and standard errors over the biological replicates.

Ribosome profiling and data analysis. Cells were treated as indicated, and subjected to ribosome profiling per Ingolia et al. (3, 5). Sequencing reads were preprocessed with the FASTX toolkit (version 0.0.13) to filter for read quality, remove adaptor sequences, remove short reads, and trim the 5 ' most nucleotide, with Bowtie2 (version 2.2.4) to remove rRNA, tRNA and mtRNA sequences, and filtered to keep reads of footprint lengths (26 to 32 nucleotides). Filtered reads were aligned using STAR (version 2.5.2b) to the hg19 human reference genome. Alignments were processed with the GenomicAlignments R library to filter for those compatible with known splice variants and replaced and represented by the nucleotide in the central-most position of the read, with Bedtools (version 2.25.0) to count number of alignments to the CDS regions of each gene (across only common regions between all isoforms, and excluding short regions spanning from -2 to +15 codons of each start codon, and -8 to +2 codons of each end codon), and RPKM was calculated. Quality of library preparation, sequencing and analysis was assessed using FastQC (version 0.11.3), Picard (version 1.139) and RiboseqR (version 1.4.0).

For TPRT protocol validation studies, ribosome profiling data was analyzed for translation changes without normalization against changes in mRNA levels, to provide equivalence to TPRT data analysis (see below under "TPRT and mRNA expression qPCR data analysis"). For global analysis (Fig. S2B), DESeq2 (version 1.10.1) was used to calculate fold changes (maximum a posteriori estimates) and adjusted $p$-values (Wald test), testing for deviations from zero change as the alternative hypothesis, with multiple testing correction by the BenjaminiHochberg method, significance cutoff for independent filtering of 0.05 , and other parameters as default values. For gene-focused analysis (Fig. 1B), fold change in translation for the ribosome profiling data was calculated as

$$
F C=\left[\frac{R P K M(d r u g, \text { goi })}{R P K M(D M S O, g o i)}\right] / N F
$$

where, by analogy to the analysis for TPRT qPCR data, for multiple internal control genes $i$,

$$
N F=\sqrt[i]{\prod_{i} \frac{R P K M(d r u g, i)}{R P K M(D M S O, i)}}
$$

For the metabolic perturbation study (Fig. 5B), translation efficiencies (TE) were calculated as the ratio of reads per million reads (RPM) values between ribosome footprint and total RNA samples, and fold changes in TE as the ratio in TE values for the respective samples.

$$
F C(T E)=\left[\frac{R P K M(d r u g, \text { footprint, goi })}{R P K M(d r u g, \text { bulk, goi })}\right] /\left[\frac{R P K M(\text { vehicle, footprint, goi) })}{R P K M(\text { vehicle, bulk, goi) }}\right]
$$

For these metabolic perturbation experiments, spike-in of S. cerevisiae sample was added during library preparation, and resulting reads were excluded during data analysis.

Western Blotting. Cells were seeded and treated as for TPRT, and harvested directly into Laemmli buffer (200 $\mu \mathrm{l} /$ well of 6 well plates). Samples were subjected to SDS-PAGE on $12 \%$ or $15 \%$ gels with $20 \mu \mathrm{l}$ sample/lane ( 15 lane mini gels), and transferred to PVDF membranes under wet transfer conditions. Membranes were incubated in blocking buffer (room temperature, approximately 30 min ), and probed with primary antibodies in blocking buffer at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight at specified dilutions: 4EBP1 (Cell Signaling Technology (CST) 9452S, 1:500), 4EBP2 (CST 2845S, 1:500), phospho-4EBP1 (p-T37/T46) (CST 2855S, 1:1000), phospho-4EBP1 (S65) (CST 9451S, 1:1000), phospho-4EBP1 (p-T70) (CST 13396S, 1:1000), S6RP (CST 2217L, 1:1000), phospho-S6RP (p-S235/S236) (CST 2211L, 1:1000), GCN2 (CST 3302S, 1:1000), p-GCN2 (R\&D Systems AF7605, 1:1000), elF2 $\alpha$ (Bethyl A300-721A-M, 1:1000), p-elF2 $\alpha$ (clone D9G8) (p-S51) (CST 3398, 1:500), p-elF2 $\alpha$ (clone 119A11) (p-S51) (CST 3597S), p-elF2 $\alpha$ (44-728G polyclonal) (p-S52) (Invitrogen 44-728G, 1:500), p-elF2 $\alpha$ (clone E90) (p-S51) (Abcam ab32157), and vinculin (Sigma Aldrich V9131, 1:5000). Membranes were probed with secondary antibodies in blocking buffer for 1 h at room temperature at specified concentrations: Alexa Fluor 680 goat anti-rabbit $\lg G$ (Life Technologies A21109, 1:5000), IRDye 800 goat anti-mouse IgG (Rockland 621-132-121, 1:5000), and visualized using the Odyssey CLx Imaging System (LI-COR). All antibodies use Odyssey TBS Blocking Buffer (LICOR), except p-elF2 $\alpha$ (44-728G polyclonal) (p-S52) (Invitrogen 44-728G, 1:500) and its subsequent secondary antibody, which use 5\% blotting-grade blocker (non-far dry milk) (Bio-Rad). Data was processed with Image Studio Lite (LI-COR) with linear mapping of detection values to output image within the signal range of interest ( $\mathrm{K}=0$ ).

KINOMEscan profiling. Chemical compounds were profiled by the KINOMEscan platform over 403 non-mutant kinases and 65 mutant kinases, at $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in DMSO. Profiling was carried out by DiscoverX Corporation, to obtain percentage interaction between recombinant kinases and their ligands in the presence of each chemical compound compared to DMSO. Primary data was visualized using TREEspot (DiscoverX). Likelihood scores (LS) were calculated as described below.

## Likelihood Score (LS) for mechanistic targets based on KINOMEscan profiles. The KINOMEscan assay

measures the extent to which a chemical compound disrupts the interaction between recombinant kinases and their ligands. We subjected Dabrafenib, MK1775 and AZ628 to KINOMEscan profiling over 403 non-mutant kinases and 65 mutant kinases. We reasoned that the mechanistic target through which Dabrafenib and MK1775 act to suppress TOP-mRNA translation would likely be disrupted by both compounds, but not by AZ628, a BRAF inhibitor similar to Dabrafenib, but which did not affect TOP-mRNA translation in the chemical screen (Fig. 2A-B). We defined a likelihood score ( $L S$ ) of an assayed kinase being the mechanistic target, as a function based on the Euclidean distance between interaction data for this assayed kinase and the ideal case, namely interaction with Dabrafenib $(\mathrm{Dbr})=0 \%, \mathrm{MK1775}(\mathrm{MK})=0 \%, A Z 628(A Z)=100 \%$. Higher $L S$ value indicates greater likelihood that a kinase is the mechanistic target.

$$
L S=\left\{\frac{\% \operatorname{Int}(\mathrm{Dbr})^{2}+\% \operatorname{Int}(\mathrm{MK})^{2}+[100-\% \operatorname{Int}(\mathrm{AZ})]^{2}}{3 \times(100)^{2}}\right\}^{-\frac{1}{2}}
$$

where \%Int (compound) is the percentage interaction of the recombinant kinase with its ligand, in the presence of the compound compared to DMSO control.
z-score calculations and threshold determination. We found that the collection of kinase inhibitors profiled was enriched for translation inhibitors, and therefore skewed towards $\log _{2} F C<0$ (Fig. 2A, Fig. S). However, we propose that the population of all chemical compounds should not be enriched for such inhibitors. For the purposes of $z$-score calculations, we assumed population mean $\log _{2} F C(\mu)$ of zero. We also assumed that this population is Gaussian with distribution and standard deviation $(\sigma)$ that can be approximated by the subset of compounds with $\log _{2} F C>0$. $z$-score was calculated as $z=(x-\mu) / \sigma$, where $x$ is $\log _{2} F C$ for a particular compound. Threshold for translation modulation was defined as $\left|\log _{2} F C\right|>2.58 \sigma$, which corresponds to $p<$ 0.01 (two-tailed).
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Figure S1. TPRT primer design principals. (A) Reverse transcription (RT) and qPCR primers designed to target ribosome footprint sequence at translation initiation site (TIS) of pre-determined genes, with Tm and length of complementary regions as specified. RT primers have extended 5' sequence to increase cDNA product length. Overlap between RT and qPCR forward primer is kept minimal. (B) Sequences of RT primer 5' region and qPCR common reverse primer.


Figure S2. Experimental validation of the TPRT protocol. (Continued overleaf)

Figure S2. Experimental validation of the TPRT protocol. (A) mTOR signaling under Torin-1 treatment (100 nM, 1 h) in MDA-MB-468 and HMEC-CT2 cells. mTOR activity is measured by phosphorylation shifts in total 4EBP1 and 4EBP2 blots. (B) Changes in transcriptome-wide translation under Torin-1 treatment (100 nM, 1 h ) in MDA-MB-468 cells, measured by ribosome profiling and calculated based on RPKM measurements across the coding sequence. For direct comparison to TPRT data, changes in translation are not normalized to mRNA levels, as described in the Materials and Methods. (C) Change in translation of RPs, TOP-/TOP-like and non-RP mRNAs examined in Fig. 1B as measured by TPRT ("- footprint purification"), or TPRT with the addition of ribosomal footprint purification ("+ footprint purification"). MDA-MB-468 cells were treated with Torin-1 (100 nM, 1 h ) and processed to determine effect of including ribosomal footprint purification steps in TPRT protocol, as described in the Materials and Methods. Fold changes derived as mean of 3 biological replicates, each with 4 technical replicates. (D) Change in translation level of ribosomal protein (RP) mRNAs, other TOP- and TOP-like mRNAs, and non-RP internal control mRNAs, under Torin-1 treatment ( $100 \mathrm{nM}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$ ) in HMEC-CT2 cells. Translation changes, measured by TPRT, are relative to normalization factor of non-RP internal controls, and DMSO vehicle control, as described in the Materials and Methods. For direct comparison to TPRT data, changes in translation are not normalized to mRNA levels, as described in the Materials and Methods. Fold changes derived as mean of 4 biological replicates, each with 4 technical replicates; error bars denote standard errors.


Figure S3. Supporting data for RP translation inhibitor chemical screen. (Continued overleaf)

Figure S3. Supporting data for RP translation inhibitor chemical screen. Change in translation of (A) RPS27, and (B) PPIA and ACTB, under acute treatment by compound library ( $1 \mu \mathrm{M}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$ ) in HMEC-CT2 cells. Translation changes, measured by TPRT, are relative to normalization factor of non-RP internal controls (PPIA, ACTB), and DMSO vehicle control; normalization and $z$-value thresholds are described in the Materials and Methods.


Figure S4. Supporting data for validation of Dabrafenib (Dbr) and MK1775 (MK) as mTOR-4EBP1/2-
independent translation inhibitors. (Continued overleaf)

Figure S4. Supporting data for validation of Dabrafenib (Dbr) and MK1775 (MK) as mTOR-4EBP1/2independent translation inhibitors. Changes in (A-C) RP translation, and (D-F) RP mRNA levels, under acute drug treatment ( $1 \mu \mathrm{M}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$ ) in HMEC-CT2 parental cells. (G) mTOR signaling and 4EBP1/2 expression, and (H-J) Changes in RP mRNA levels, under acute drug treatment ( $1 \mu \mathrm{M}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$ ) in HMEC-CT2 subjected to CRISPR-Cas9 targeting GFP or 4EBP1/2. Translation changes, measured by TPRT, and mRNA level changes are relative to normalization factor of non-RP internal controls, and DMSO vehicle control, as described in the Materials and Methods. Fold changes derived as mean of 3 biological replicates, each with 3 technical replicates; error bars denote standard errors.


Figure S5. KINOMEscan profiling data for Dabrafenib, MK1775 and AZ628. TREEspot visualization indicates percentage interaction of each recombinant kinase to its ligand in the presence of the compound versus DMSO control (SI Table 5).
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| Drug， 1 h <br> （uM） <br> p－GCN2 | Dabrafenib | MK1775 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $0^{2} 0^{2} 0^{5} \times 51050$ |  |
|  | －－－－－－ | －－－－－－ |
| GCN2 | －－ | －－－－－－ |
| Vinculin | －ーーーーーーーー | ーーーーーーーーー |



Figure S6．Supporting data for examining dependency of Dabrafenib（Dbr）and MK1775（MK）translation effects on GCN2 and elF2 $\alpha$ ．（Continued overleaf）

Figure S6. Supporting data for examining dependency of Dabrafenib (Dbr) and MK1775 (MK) translation effects on GCN2 and eIF2 $\alpha$. (A, B) mTOR signaling, and GCN2 or elF2 $\alpha$ expression, and (C-H) changes in RP mRNA levels, under acute drug treatment ( $1 \mu \mathrm{M}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$ ) in HMEC-CT2 subjected to negative control siRNA, or siRNA targeting GCN2 (C-E) or eIF2 $\alpha(\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{H})$. mRNA level changes are relative to normalization factor of non-RP internal controls, and DMSO vehicle control, as described in the Materials and Methods. Fold changes derived as mean of 4 (C-E) or $3(\mathrm{~F}-\mathrm{H})$ biological replicates, each with 3 technical replicates; error bars denote standard errors. (I) GCN2 phosphorylation level under acute drug treatment (1 h) under varying drug doses in HMEC-CT2 parental cells. (J) eIF2 $\alpha$ phosphorylation level under acute drug treatment ( $1 \mu \mathrm{M}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$ ) in HMEC-CT2 subjected to negative control siRNA, or siRNA targeting GCN2. p-elF2 $\alpha$ antibody clones or catalog numbers are indicated, and described in the Material and Methods.


Figure S7. Supporting data for examining RP translation under limitation of glucose (- Gluc) or cysteine/cystine (-C/C). Changes in (A-C) RP translation, and (D-F) RP mRNA levels, under Torin-1 treatment (1 $\mu \mathrm{M}, 30 \mathrm{~min}$ ), or acute metabolic perturbations ( 30 min ), in Src-transformed MCF10A cells. Translation changes, measured by TPRT, and mRNA level changes are relative to normalization factor of non-RP internal controls, and DMSO vehicle control, as described in the Materials and Methods. Fold changes derived as mean of 3 biological replicates, each with 3 technical replicates; error bars denote standard errors.


Figure S8. Supporting data for examining dependency of glucose (- Gluc) or cysteine/cystine (-C/C)
limitation-mediated translation effects on GCN2 and elF2 $\alpha$. (Continued overleaf)

Figure S8. Supporting data for examining dependency of glucose (- Gluc) or cysteine/cystine (-C/C) limitation-mediated translation effects on GCN2 and elF2 $\alpha$. (A, B) mTOR signaling, and GCN2 or elF2 $\alpha$ expression, and (C-H) changes in RP mRNA levels, under Torin- 1 treatment ( $1 \mu \mathrm{M}, 30 \mathrm{~min}$ ), or acute metabolic perturbations ( 30 min ), in Src-transformed MCF10A cells subjected to negative control siRNA, or siRNA targeting GCN2 (A, C-E) or eIF2 $\alpha$ (B, F-H). mRNA level changes are relative to normalization factor of non-RP internal controls, and DMSO vehicle control, as described in the Materials and Methods. Fold changes derived as mean of 4 (C-E) or 3 (F-H) biological replicates, each with 3 technical replicates; error bars denote standard errors. Phosphorylation levels of (I) GCN2, and (J) eIF2 $\alpha$, under Torin-1 treatment ( $1 \mu \mathrm{M}, 30 \mathrm{~min}$ ), or acute metabolic perturbations ( 30 min ), in Src-transformed MCF10A cells (I), or Src-transformed MCF10A cells subjected to negative control siRNA or siRNA targeting GCN2 (J).

| Drug | Nominal target(s) | Clinical indication | Clinical trial progress | RPS27 |  | RPL21 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\log 2(\mathrm{FC})$ | z-score | log2(FC) | z-score |
| Torin-1 | mTOR | - | Preclinical | -2.38 | -6.52 | -2.39 | -8.97 |
| Sapanisertib | mTOR | various cancers | Phase 2 | -2.61 | -7.15 | -2.29 | -8.61 |
| ZSTK474 | pan-PI3K | various cancers | Phase 1 | -1.54 | -4.22 | -2.26 | -8.49 |
| Buparlisib | pan-PI3K | breast cancer | Phase 3 | -2.84 | -7.77 | -2.18 | -8.18 |
| WYE-125132 | mTOR | - | Preclinical | -2.33 | -6.39 | -2.17 | -8.16 |
| YM201636 | PIKfyve | - | Preclinical | -2.42 | -6.62 | -1.88 | -7.07 |
| OTS167 | MELK | liquid cancers | Phase 1/2 | -2.26 | -6.20 | -1.72 | -6.46 |
| Quizartinib | FLT3 | AML | Phase 3 | -1.41 | -3.86 | -1.27 | -4.79 |
| Alectinib | ALK | NSCLC | FDA approved | -1.48 | -4.05 | -1.15 | -4.31 |
| Ipatasertib | AKT1/2/3 | prostate cancer | Phase 3 | -1.59 | -4.37 | -1.06 | -3.99 |
| Everolimus | mTOR (FKBP12) | breast, pancreatic, RCC, SEGA | FDA approved | -1.25 | -3.43 | -0.94 | -3.54 |
| Sirolimus | mTOR (FKBP12) | various cancers | Phase 3/4 | -0.96 | -2.63 | -0.94 | -3.53 |
| Ceritinib | ALK | NSCLC | FDA approved | -1.30 | -3.56 | -0.93 | -3.48 |
| Dabrafenib | b/c-Raf | melanoma | FDA approved | -1.51 | -4.14 | -0.84 | -3.17 |
| MK1775 | WEE1 | head and neck, ovarian cancers | Phase 2 | -1.40 | -3.84 | -0.81 | -3.05 |
| AZD7762 | CHK1/2 | various solid cancers | Phase 1 | -1.04 | -2.86 | -0.78 | -2.94 |
| GSK1904529A | IGF-1R, IR | - | Preclinical | -0.97 | -2.65 | -0.72 | -2.69 |

Supplementary Table 1. List of RP-mRNA translation inhibitors identified in chemical screen. RP translation inhibitors, defined as those that meet inhibition threshold for RPS27 and RPL21, are listed with their nominal targets, clinical indication and clinical trial status (clinicaltrials.gov, retrieved January 15,2018$)$.

| Group | Gene Symbol | Gene Name | Function | 5' Sequence |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RP | RPS3A | ribosomal protein S3A | RNA translation | СССTTTTGGCTCTCTGACCAGCACCATGGCGGTTGGCAAGAACAAGCGCC |
|  | RPS25 | ribosomal protein S25 | RNA translation | CTTTTTGTCCGACATCTTGACGAGGCTGCGGTGTCTGCTGCTATTCTCCG |
|  | RPS27 | ribosomal protein S27 | RNA translation | CTTTCCGGCGGTGACGACCTACGCACACGAGAACATGCCTCTCGCAAAGG |
|  | RPL7A | ribosomal protein L7A | RNA translation | СТСтСТССТСССGCCGCCCAAGATGCCGAAAGGAAAGAAGGCCAAGGGAA |
|  | RPL21 | ribosomal protein L21 | RNA translation | CCTTTCGGCCGGAACCGCCATCTTCCAGTAATTCGCCAAAATGACGAACA |
| TOP-/TOP-like mRNA | EEF1A1 | eukaryotic translation elongation factor 1 alpha 1 | RNA translation | CTTTTTCGCAACGGGTTTGCCGCCAGAACACAGGTGTCGTGAAAACTACC |
|  | TPT1 | tumor protein, translationally-controlled 1 | immunity \& proliferation | СТTTTCCGCCCGCTCСССССTCCCCCCGAGCGCCGCTCCGGCTGCACCGC |
|  | GAPDH | glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase | metabolism | GСТСТСТGСТССТССTGTTCGACAGTCAGCCGCATCTTCTTTTGCGTCGC |
|  | HSPA8 | heat shock protein family A (Hsp70) member 8 | heat shock response | CTCATTGAACTCGCCTGCAGCTCTTGGGTTTTTTGTGGCTTCCTTCGTTA |
| Non-RP | ATP5F1 | ATP synthase, H+ transporting, mitochondrial Fo complex subunit B1 | metabolism | ATCGGGGTCACAGGGACGCTAAGATTGCTACCTGGACTTTCGTTGACCAT |
|  | H3F3A | H3 histone family member 3A | nucleosome structure | AATTGTGTTCGCAGCCGCCGCCGCGCCGCCGTCGCTCTCCAACGCCAGCG |
|  | KRT5 | keratin 5 | cell structure | AACAGAGCCACCTTCTGCGTCCTGCTGAGCTCTGTTCTCTCCAGCACCTC |
|  | NDUFC2 | NADH:ubiquinone oxidoreductase subunit C2 | metabolism | GAGTCCGGCGCGCAGAGGAGGAGGAGAAAGCTGACCGCTTAGGCCGGGGT |
|  | PPIA | peptidylprolyl isomerase A | metabolism | GTTTTGCAGACGCCACCGCCGAGGAAAACCGTGTACTATTAGCCATGGTC |
|  | TUBA1B | tubulin alpha 1b | cell structure | AGTGCGTTACTTACCTCGACTCTTAGCTTGTCGGGGACGGTAACCGGGAC |
|  | VAPA | VAMP associated protein A | vesicle transport | GTСTСTCCGATGGCGTCCGCCTCAGGGGCCATGGCGAAGCACGAGCAGAT |
|  | YWHAQ | tyrosine 3-monooxygenase/tryptophan 5monooxygenase activation protein theta | signal transduction | AAAGCCAAAAGCAGATCAAAGTGGTGGGACTCGCGTCGCGGCCGCGGAGA |
|  | ACTB | actin beta | cell structure | ACCGCCGAGACCGCGTCCGCCCCGCGAGCACAGAGCCTCGCCTTTGCCGA |

Supplementary Table 1.5' Sequences of TPRT panel genes. Transcription start site co-ordinates obtained from NCBI RefSeq database under 'major' or 'predominant' transcription initiation site annotation if available (RPL7A, RPL21, EEF1A1, KRT5), otherwise obtained from dbTSS (dbtss.hgc.jp) based on the predominant TSS tag signal. Terminal oligopyrimidine (TOP) motif sequences shown in red. TOP- and TOP-like mRNAs defined according to Yamashita et al. (6).


Supplementary Table 2. TPRT primer information. Sequences of translation initiation site (TIS), and TIS-targeting reverse transcription (RT) and qPCR primers, with Tm and length of primer complementary regions. Tm calculated using IDT OligoAnalyzer 3.1 (idtdna.com/calc/analyzer) with parameters: for RT, target type is RNA, $0.1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ oligo, 75 mM monovalent cation, 3 mM divalent cation, 2 mM dNTPs total; for qPCR, target type is DNA, $0.2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ oligo, 50 mM monovalent cation, 1.5 mM divalent cation, 0.8 mM dNTPs. Complementary regions on transcript sequence: RT primer, blue; qPCR forward primer, yellow; RT \& qPCR primer overlap, red.

| Group | Drug | Nominal target(s) | Nominal target family | log2(fold change) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | RPS27 | RPL21 | PPIA | ACTB |
| 1 | Tozasertib | Aurora A/B/C | Aurora | 0.14 | 0.20 | -0.08 | 0.08 |
| 1 | Saracatinib | Src family | SRC | 0.01 | -0.23 | 0.04 | -0.04 |
| 1 | Torin-1 | mTOR | mTOR | -2.38 | -2.39 | 0.13 | -0.13 |
| 1 | Ruxolitinib | JAK1/2 | JAK | 0.05 | 0.19 | -0.08 | 0.08 |
| 1 | Trametinib | MEK1/2 | MEK1/2 | 0.34 | 0.25 | -0.04 | 0.04 |
| 1 | Buparlisib | pan-PI3K | PI3K | -2.84 | -2.18 | -0.01 | 0.01 |
| 1 | BGJ398 | FGFR1/2/3/4 | FGFR | -0.47 | -0.17 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1 | CC-401 | JNK1/2/3 | JNK | -0.18 | -0.05 | -0.04 | 0.04 |
| 1 | Sapanisertib | mTOR | mTOR | -2.61 | -2.29 | 0.16 | -0.16 |
| 1 | Everolimus | mTOR(FKBP12) | mTOR(FKBP12) | -1.25 | -0.94 | -0.03 | 0.03 |
| 1 | Ipatasertib | AKT1/2/3 | AKT | -1.59 | -1.06 | 0.01 | -0.01 |
| 1 | CP-673451 | PDGFRa/b | PDGFR | 0.77 | 0.60 | -0.06 | 0.06 |
| 1 | AZD1208 | PIM1/2/3 | PIM | 0.02 | 0.13 | -0.20 | 0.20 |
| 1 | LY2584702 | p70S6K1 | p70S6K1 | 0.09 | 0.15 | 0.02 | -0.02 |
| 1 | Abemaciclib | CDK4/6 | CDK | -0.04 | 0.10 | -0.03 | 0.03 |
| 1 | Poziotinib | ERBB1/2/4 | ERBB | -0.50 | -0.24 | -0.06 | 0.06 |
| 1 | CGP57380 | MNK1 | MNK | -0.21 | 0.01 | -0.06 | 0.06 |
| 1 | BI-D1870 | RSK1/2/3/4 | RSK | 0.42 | 0.40 | -0.17 | 0.17 |
| 1 | Axitinib | VEGFR1/2/3 | VEGFR | 0.34 | 0.36 | -0.06 | 0.06 |
| 1 | RGB-286147 | CDK1/2/3/5/7/9 | CDK | 0.99 | 0.91 | -0.07 | 0.07 |
| 2 | GSK429286A | ROCK1/2 | ROCK | 0.22 | 0.25 | -0.04 | 0.04 |
| 2 | Quizartinib | FLT3 | FLT3 | -1.41 | -1.27 | 0.03 | -0.03 |
| 2 | NU7441 | DNA-PK | DNA-PK | -0.20 | -0.29 | 0.04 | -0.04 |
| 2 | Enzastaurin | pan-PKC | PKC | -0.36 | -0.16 | -0.12 | 0.12 |
| 2 | QL-XI-92 | DDR1 | DDR1 | 0.09 | 0.15 | -0.17 | 0.17 |
| 2 | XMD8-92 | ERK5 | ERK5 | 0.39 | 0.31 | -0.27 | 0.27 |
| 2 | Tivantinib | MET | MET | -0.16 | -0.07 | -0.03 | 0.03 |
| 2 | Linsitinib | IGF-1R, IR | IGF-1R, IR | -1.15 | -0.61 | -0.11 | 0.11 |
| 2 | KU60019 | ATM | ATM | 0.04 | 0.20 | -0.17 | 0.17 |
| 2 | Neflamapimod | p38a/b | p38 | 0.11 | 0.22 | -0.18 | 0.18 |
| 2 | CHIR99021 | GSK3a/b | GSK3 | -0.31 | -0.21 | -0.07 | 0.07 |
| 2 | Alectinib | ALK | ALK | -1.48 | -1.15 | 0.04 | -0.04 |
| 2 | Dabrafenib | b/c-Raf | RAF | -1.51 | -0.84 | -0.35 | 0.35 |
| 2 | Volasertib | PLK1/2/3 | PLK | -0.32 | -0.07 | -0.17 | 0.17 |
| 2 | Dorsomorphin | AMPK | AMPK | 0.13 | 0.21 | -0.07 | 0.07 |
| 2 | GW2580 | CSF-1R | CSF-1R | -0.38 | -0.16 | 0.08 | -0.08 |
| 2 | CID755673 | PKD1/2/3 | PKD | -0.17 | -0.09 | 0.15 | -0.15 |
| 2 | BIX02188 | MEK5 | MEK5 | -0.33 | -0.08 | 0.07 | -0.07 |
| 2 | NH125 | eEF2K | eEF2K | -0.43 | -0.18 | 0.08 | -0.08 |
| 2 | PF3644022 | MK2, MK3 | MK2, MK3 | -0.54 | -0.34 | 0.18 | -0.18 |
| 3 | BMS345541 | IKK2 | IKK | 0.12 | -0.17 | 0.06 | -0.06 |
| 3 | XMD14-99 | EPHB3 | EPHB | 0.25 | 0.19 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 3 | YM201636 | PIKfyve | PIKfyve | -2.42 | -1.88 | 0.10 | -0.10 |
| 3 | LDN193189 | ALK2/3 | BMP | 0.31 | 0.17 | 0.13 | -0.13 |
| 3 | BMS509744 | ITK | ITK | -0.09 | 0.07 | 0.18 | -0.18 |
| 3 | MK1775 | WEE1 | WEE1 | -1.40 | -0.81 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 3 | Kin236 | Tie2 | TIE2 | -0.03 | 0.13 | 0.15 | -0.15 |
| 3 | PF573228 | FAK | FAK | 0.23 | 0.33 | 0.15 | -0.15 |
| 3 | GSK2334470 | PDK1 | PDK | -1.15 | -0.60 | 0.12 | -0.12 |
| 3 | PF3758309 | PAK family | PAK | -0.09 | 0.02 | 0.21 | -0.21 |
| 3 | VE821 | ATR | ATR | -0.77 | -0.13 | -0.01 | 0.01 |
| 3 | MRT67307 | IKBKE, TBK1 | IKBKE, TBK1 | -0.44 | -0.09 | 0.07 | -0.07 |
| 3 | GNF5837 | TrkA/B/C | TRK | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.09 | -0.09 |
| 3 | Entospletinib | Syk | SYK | -0.74 | -0.32 | 0.18 | -0.18 |
| 3 | BMS863233 | Cdc7 | Cdc7 | -0.47 | -0.01 | 0.09 | -0.09 |
| 3 | Necrostatin-1 | RIP1 | RIP | -0.22 | -0.08 | 0.08 | -0.08 |


| 3 | Spebrutinib | BTK | BTK | -1.05 | -0.42 | 0.18 | -0.18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | GNE7915 | LRRK2 | LRRK2 | -0.55 | -0.09 | 0.10 | -0.10 |
| 3 | WZ4003 | NUAK1/2 | NUAK | -0.65 | -0.23 | 0.17 | -0.17 |
| 3 | EW-7197 | ALK4/5 | TGFB | -0.11 | 0.20 | 0.20 | -0.20 |
| 4 | AZD7762 | CHK1/2 | CHK | -1.04 | -0.78 | -0.08 | 0.08 |
| 4 | MK2206 | AKT1/2/3 | AKT | -0.94 | -0.77 | 0.04 | -0.04 |
| 4 | CG-930 | JNK1/3 | JNK | -0.10 | 0.06 | -0.02 | 0.02 |
| 4 | GSK1070916 | Aurora B/C | Aurora | -0.39 | -0.15 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 4 | WYE-125132 | mTOR | mTOR | -2.33 | -2.17 | 0.17 | -0.17 |
| 4 | GSK1904529A | IGF-1R, IR | IGF-1R, IR | -0.97 | -0.72 | -0.14 | 0.14 |
| 4 | TPCA-1 | IKK2 | IKK | -0.77 | -0.54 | -0.10 | 0.10 |
| 4 | Momelotinib | JAK1/2 | JAK | -0.24 | -0.09 | -0.05 | 0.05 |
| 4 | JNJ-38877605 | MET | MET | -0.09 | 0.00 | -0.15 | 0.15 |
| 4 | AZD8330 | MEK1/2 | MEK1/2 | -0.25 | -0.13 | -0.14 | 0.14 |
| 4 | NVP-BHG712 | EPHB4 | EPHB | -0.31 | -0.19 | 0.01 | -0.01 |
| 4 | Dacomitinib | pan-ERBB | ERBB | -0.75 | -0.44 | -0.03 | 0.03 |
| 4 | OTS167 | MELK, others | MELK, others | -2.26 | -1.72 | -0.07 | 0.07 |
| 4 | GDC-0994 | ERK1/2 | ERK1/2 | 0.05 | 0.16 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 4 | LY2090314 | GSK3a/b | GSK3 | -0.85 | -0.62 | 0.01 | -0.01 |
| 4 | Ceritinib | ALK | ALK | -1.30 | -0.93 | 0.04 | -0.04 |
| 4 | TAK-632 | b/c-Raf | RAF | -0.38 | -0.18 | -0.11 | 0.11 |
| 4 | SMI-4a | PIM1/2 | PIM | -0.67 | -0.40 | -0.16 | 0.16 |
| 4 | Debio-1374 | FGFR1/2/3 | FGFR | -0.69 | -0.41 | -0.04 | 0.04 |
| 4 | TX-1918 | eEF2K | eEF2K | -0.68 | -0.29 | -0.03 | 0.03 |
| 5 | GW843682X | PLK1/3 | PLK | -0.05 | 0.04 | -0.04 | 0.04 |
| 5 | AZ628 | pan-Raf | RAF | 0.30 | 0.12 | 0.16 | -0.16 |
| 5 | Sirolimus | mTOR(FKBP12) | mTOR(FKBP12) | -0.96 | -0.94 | 0.14 | -0.14 |
| 5 | ZSTK474 | pan-PI3K | PI3K | -1.54 | -2.26 | 0.35 | -0.35 |
| 5 | MLN8054 | Aurora A/B | Aurora | -0.04 | 0.03 | -0.02 | 0.02 |
| 5 | PHA-793887 | CDK1/2/4/5/7/9 | CDK | -0.23 | 0.15 | -0.10 | 0.10 |
| 5 | KIN001-244 | PDK1 | PDK | -0.36 | 0.05 | -0.03 | 0.03 |
| 5 | A-769662 | AMPK | AMPK | -0.37 | -0.03 | -0.06 | 0.06 |
| 5 | Y-27632 | ROCK1/2 | ROCK | 0.08 | 0.35 | -0.06 | 0.06 |
| 5 | Vandetanib | VEGFR2/3 | VEGFR | -0.56 | -0.06 | -0.06 | 0.06 |
| 5 | Dinaciclib | CDK1/2/5/9 | CDK | 0.31 | 0.17 | 0.08 | -0.08 |
| 5 | KX01 | Src | SRC | -0.26 | -0.51 | 0.20 | -0.20 |
| 5 | Ribociclib | CDK4/6 | CDK | 0.41 | 0.08 | 0.07 | -0.07 |
| 5 | PF4708671 | p70S6K1 | p70S6K1 | 0.11 | 0.20 | 0.13 | -0.13 |
| 5 | PRT062607 | Syk | SYK | 0.28 | -0.11 | 0.28 | -0.28 |
| 5 | Sotrastaurin | pan-PKC | PKC | 0.77 | 0.39 | 0.09 | -0.09 |
| 5 | LY2109761 | TbRI/II | TGFB | 0.54 | 0.22 | 0.24 | -0.24 |
| 5 | Ralimetinib | p38a/b | p38 | 0.36 | 0.02 | 0.12 | -0.12 |
| 5 | SCH772984 | ERK1/2 | ERK1/2 | 0.59 | 0.30 | 0.12 | -0.12 |
| 5 | JNJ-10198409 | PDGFRa/b | PDGFR | 0.03 | -0.38 | 0.26 | -0.26 |

Supplementary Table 3. Chemical screening data. List of kinase inhibitors tested for TOP-mRNA translation inhibition, their nominal targets and kinase family of nominal targets, and their effects on translation of TOPmRNA transcripts (RPS27, RPL21), relative to non-TOP-mRNA transcripts (PPIA, ACTB), and DMSO vehicle control, under acute treatment ( $1 \mu \mathrm{M}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$ ) in HMEC-CT2 cells. Screening was carried out in 5 groups of 20 compounds each; group numbers are shown.

| Drug | Nominal target(s) | Clinical indication | Clinical trial progress | RPS27 |  | RPL21 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | log2(FC) | z-score | log2(FC) | z-score |
| Torin-1 | mTOR | - | Preclinical | -2.38 | -6.52 | -2.39 | -8.97 |
| Sapanisertib | mTOR | various cancers | Phase 2 | -2.61 | -7.15 | -2.29 | -8.61 |
| ZSTK474 | pan-PI3K | various cancers | Phase 1 | -1.54 | -4.22 | -2.26 | -8.49 |
| Buparlisib | pan-PI3K | breast cancer | Phase 3 | -2.84 | -7.77 | -2.18 | -8.18 |
| WYE-125132 | mTOR | - | Preclinical | -2.33 | -6.39 | -2.17 | -8.16 |
| YM201636 | PIKfyve | - | Preclinical | -2.42 | -6.62 | -1.88 | -7.07 |
| OTS167 | MELK | liquid cancers | Phase 1/2 | -2.26 | -6.20 | -1.72 | -6.46 |
| Quizartinib | FLT3 | AML | Phase 3 | -1.41 | -3.86 | -1.27 | -4.79 |
| Alectinib | ALK | NSCLC | FDA approved | -1.48 | -4.05 | -1.15 | -4.31 |
| Ipatasertib | AKT1/2/3 | prostate cancer | Phase 3 | -1.59 | -4.37 | -1.06 | -3.99 |
| Everolimus | mTOR (FKBP12) | breast, pancreatic, RCC, SEGA | FDA approved | -1.25 | -3.43 | -0.94 | -3.54 |
| Sirolimus | mTOR (FKBP12) | various cancers | Phase 3/4 | -0.96 | -2.63 | -0.94 | -3.53 |
| Ceritinib | ALK | NSCLC | FDA approved | -1.30 | -3.56 | -0.93 | -3.48 |
| Dabrafenib | b/c-Raf | melanoma | FDA approved | -1.51 | -4.14 | -0.84 | -3.17 |
| MK1775 | WEE1 | head and neck, ovarian cancers | Phase 2 | -1.40 | -3.84 | -0.81 | -3.05 |
| AZD7762 | CHK1/2 | various solid cancers | Phase 1 | -1.04 | -2.86 | -0.78 | -2.94 |
| GSK1904529A | IGF-1R, IR | - | Preclinical | -0.97 | -2.65 | -0.72 | -2.69 |

Supplementary Table 4. List of RP-mRNA translation inhibitors identified in chemical screen. RP translation inhibitors, defined as those that meet inhibition threshold for RPS27 and RPL21, are listed with their nominal targets, clinical indication and clinical trial status (clinicaltrials.gov, retrieved January 15, 2018).

| DiscoveRx Gene Symbol | Entrez Gene Symbol | \% DMSO control |  |  | Likelihood score (LS) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Dabrafenib | MK1775 | AZ628 |  |
| GCN2(Kin.Dom.2,S808G) | EIF2AK4 | 1.40 | 1.50 | 100.00 | 84.41 |
| NEK11 | NEK11 | 3.30 | 13.00 | 100.00 | 12.91 |
| HIPK1 | HIPK1 | 13.00 | 14.00 | 79.00 | 6.10 |
| SYK | SYK | 14.00 | 23.00 | 80.00 | 5.16 |
| CDK4-cyclinD1 | CDK4 | 41.00 | 5.50 | 100.00 | 4.19 |
| TRKA | NTRK1 | 32.00 | 27.00 | 92.00 | 4.06 |
| SIK2 | SIK2 | 15.00 | 40.00 | 96.00 | 4.04 |
| PRKD3 | PRKD3 | 13.00 | 41.00 | 86.00 | 3.83 |
| JAK2(JH1domain-catalytic) | JAK2 | 34.00 | 3.90 | 67.00 | 3.64 |
| TYK2(JH2domain-pseudokinase) | TYK2 | 3.20 | 4.60 | 52.00 | 3.58 |
| PCTK2 | CDK17 | 1.40 | 48.00 | 89.00 | 3.52 |
| ULK2 | ULK2 | 33.00 | 31.00 | 78.00 | 3.44 |
| CDK7 | CDK7 | 22.00 | 33.00 | 68.00 | 3.40 |
| PCTK1 | CDK16 | 0.20 | 52.00 | 94.00 | 3.31 |
| HIPK3 | HIPK3 | 46.00 | 27.00 | 87.00 | 3.15 |
| STK36 | STK36 | 0.75 | 59.00 | 100.00 | 2.94 |
| HIPK4 | HIPK4 | 51.00 | 30.00 | 96.00 | 2.92 |
| PIK4CB | PI4KB | 3.70 | 57.00 | 84.00 | 2.92 |
| CSNK2A2 | CSNK2A2 | 63.00 | 12.00 | 96.00 | 2.70 |
| ERBB3 | ERBB3 | 6.90 | 64.00 | 93.00 | 2.67 |
| CDK4-cyclinD3 | CDK4 | 65.00 | 5.80 | 93.00 | 2.64 |
| SLK | SLK | 66.00 | 15.00 | 94.00 | 2.55 |
| GAK | GAK | 68.00 | 7.20 | 100.00 | 2.53 |
| TBK1 | TBK1 | 59.00 | 19.00 | 71.00 | 2.53 |
| JAK1(JH2domain-pseudokinase) | JAK1 | 0.85 | 69.00 | 77.00 | 2.38 |
| STK33 | STK33 | 68.00 | 16.00 | 79.00 | 2.37 |
| PKNB(M.tuberculosis) | pknB | 72.00 | 12.00 | 96.00 | 2.37 |
| PLK3 | PLK3 | 64.00 | 0.55 | 63.00 | 2.34 |
| PRKD2 | PRKD2 | 39.00 | 63.00 | 86.00 | 2.30 |
| PRKD1 | PRKD1 | 27.00 | 71.00 | 100.00 | 2.28 |
| MAP3K3 | MAP3K3 | 75.00 | 6.20 | 87.00 | 2.27 |
| CDK3 | CDK3 | 13.00 | 75.00 | 91.00 | 2.26 |
| AAK1 | AAK1 | 54.00 | 38.00 | 61.00 | 2.26 |
| EGFR | EGFR | 50.00 | 43.00 | 60.00 | 2.25 |
| TYK2(JH1domain-catalytic) | TYK2 | 61.00 | 36.00 | 69.00 | 2.24 |
| IKK-epsilon | IKBKE | 67.00 | 35.00 | 83.00 | 2.24 |
| ABL1(Q252H)-phosphorylated | ABL1 | 52.00 | 2.10 | 42.00 | 2.22 |
| FLT3(ITD,F691L) | FLT3 | 66.00 | 40.00 | 89.00 | 2.22 |
| JAK3(JH1domain-catalytic) | JAK3 | 78.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 2.22 |
| ERBB2 | ERBB2 | 30.00 | 36.00 | 37.00 | 2.21 |
| PLK2 | PLK2 | 75.00 | 0.90 | 76.00 | 2.20 |
| CASK | CASK | 66.00 | 29.00 | 67.00 | 2.18 |
| NEK9 | NEK9 | 11.00 | 79.00 | 97.00 | 2.17 |
| TIE1 | TIE1 | 48.00 | 52.00 | 63.00 | 2.17 |
| PIP5K2C | PIP4K2C | 26.00 | 45.00 | 38.00 | 2.14 |
| NEK3 | NEK3 | 65.00 | 6.60 | 52.00 | 2.14 |
| ABL1(M351T)-phosphorylated | ABL1 | 52.00 | 5.70 | 38.00 | 2.14 |
| PCTK3 | CDK18 | 0.60 | 82.00 | 100.00 | 2.11 |
| CAMK2A | CAMK2A | 68.00 | 44.00 | 85.00 | 2.10 |
| MAP3K2 | MAP3K2 | 59.00 | 17.00 | 45.00 | 2.10 |
| EGFR(L747-E749del, A750P) | EGFR | 62.00 | 12.00 | 47.00 | 2.10 |
| TESK1 | TESK1 | 0.00 | 81.00 | 84.00 | 2.10 |
| ADCK3 | CABC1 | 28.00 | 73.00 | 73.00 | 2.09 |
| CAMKK2 | CAMKK2 | 60.00 | 31.00 | 52.00 | 2.09 |
| ABL1(T315)--phosphorylated | ABL1 | 62.00 | 48.00 | 73.00 | 2.09 |


|  | CSNK2A1 | 73.00 | 23.00 | 68.00 | 2.09 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DYRK1B | DYRK1B | 42.00 | 56.00 | 55.00 | 2.08 |
| CAMKK1 | CAMKK1 | 63.00 | 35.00 | 57.00 | 2.06 |
| DCAMKL3 | DCLK3 | 57.00 | 62.00 | 100.00 | 2.06 |
| PIK3CA | PIK3CA | 0.00 | 85.00 | 97.00 | 2.04 |
| ERK3 | MAPK6 | 83.00 | 14.00 | 87.00 | 2.03 |
| PIK3C2G | PIK3C2G | 54.00 | 63.00 | 79.00 | 2.02 |
| ERK4 | MAPK4 | 71.00 | 44.00 | 81.00 | 2.02 |
| PAK4 | PAK4 | 48.00 | 71.00 | 96.00 | 2.02 |
| MYLK | MYLK | 44.00 | 69.00 | 74.00 | 2.02 |

Supplementary Table 5. KINOMEscan profiling for Dabrafenib, MK1775 and AZ628. Profiling data of percentage loss of interaction of each recombinant kinase to its ligand in the presence of the compound, at 1 $\mu \mathrm{M}$, versus DMSO control. Likelihood score $(L S)$ of a kinase as the mechanistic target for the observed mTORindependent TOP-mRNA translation suppression is shown. $L S$ is calculated as described in the Material and Methods. Table shows kinase targets with $L S>2$.
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